

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
	09/944,590 09/04/20		09/04/2001	/2001 Yuji Sezai			110537	1660
	25944	7590	02/04/2003					
	OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			- ()			EXAM	INER
	P.O. BOX 19 ALEXANDE		22320				KOSLOW,	CAROL M
1.	*					•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•				1755	6
					•		DATE MAILED: 02/04/2003	
			•				•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)						
	09/944,590	SEZAI ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	C. Melissa Koslow	1755						
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	app ars on the cover she tw	vith the correspond nce address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a t. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	ation.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	•						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•						
8) Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	***						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	Application No						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has b	een received.						
Attachment(s)	, 1 3, 225. 33 3.0.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/944,590

Art Unit: 1755

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a magnetic core composition, classified in class 252, subclass 62.62.
- II. Claims 6-10, drawn to an xDLS modern transformer core, classified in class 375, subclass 222.
- III. Claims 11 and 12, drawn to a transformer core having a defined structure, classified in class 336, subclass 233.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II or III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a chip inductor and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

Application/Control Number: 09/944,590

Art Unit: 1755

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different functions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Jim Voeller on 31 January 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Koslow whose telephone number is (703) 308-3817. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached at (703) 308-3823.

The fax number for Amendments filed under 37 CFR 1.116 or After Final communications is (703) 872-9311. The fax number for all other official communications is (703) 872-9310.

Art Unit: 1755

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661 or (703) 308-0662.

cmk February 3, 2003 C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Tech. Center 1700